LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT F. TWEEDLE

2842 – 45th Street, Suite A Highland, Indiana 46322

Attorney at Law.

Robert F. Tweedle rtweedle@tweedlelaw.com Licensed in Indiana & Illinois

Telephone: (219) 924-0770 Facsimile: (219) 924-0772

Illinois: (312) 431-8774

May 2, 2014

Mr. Ryan Bahr
Section 2 Chief
Water Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch
U.S. EPA Region 5 (WC-15J)
77 West Jackson Blvd.
14th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60604

RE Sanitary District of Highland Order for Compliance and Request for Information Pursuant to 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318 and 1319(a)(3) Docket No. V-W-11-AO-07

Dear Mr. Bahr:

As you are aware, I represent the Sanitary District of the Town of Highland, Indiana (Sanitary District). I am in receipt of your correspondence dated March 25, 2014, requesting additional information relating to the alternatives provided in the SSES. Pursuant to your request, please find the attached materials prepared by Terry Hodnik of NIES Engineering, which provides the following regarding the alternative approaches to addressing sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), described as Alternates 1 and 3 in the SSES: a schedule for 25-year design level of control for Alternative 3, as well as schedules for 10 and 25-year design levels of control for Alternative 1. Also included is an updated schedule for 10-year design level of control for Alternative 3.

Following your review of the submitted information, you will see that none of the Alternatives fall within the bonding capacity of the Sanitary District. Moreover, none of the Alternatives, if implemented, would allow the Sanitary District to hold a portion of its bonding capacity in reserve to address other necessary capital improvements and to respond to unforeseen contingencies.

As you point out, the ongoing litigation with the Hammond Sanitary District (HSD) may serve to delay implementation of the Regional solution, Alternative 3. While the Sanitary District and other customer communities were successful in preventing HSD from cancelling the treatment contract, the ongoing appeal process is expected to take approximately one year. Until the appeal is resolved, it is unlikely that a joint agreement with HSD regarding Alternative 3 will be possible. The Sanitary District is hopeful that HSD will agree to proceed with the Regional solution, Alternative 3, once the appeal is resolved.

The Sanitary District has formulated a contingency plan (Contingency Plan) in order to prepare for the possibility that HSD does not accept increased pumping rates. The Contingency Plan is designed to accomplish the following goals:

1. Take immediate action toward significantly reducing SSOs;

2. Construct improvements which are components of both Alternative 3 and Alternative 1 and which will be necessary regardless of which Alternative is selected;

3. Construct improvements which the Sanitary District can afford, leaving a reasonable portion of bonding capacity in reserve to allow for additional necessary capital improvements and to respond to emergencies.

Based on the foregoing, the Sanitary District proposes the following Contingency Plan that provides an interim project to significantly reduce the impact of SSOs in the 5th Street Basin:

1. Construct 8.5 mg storage in the 5th Street Basin;

- 2. Build a new 36-inch interceptor in 5th Street from LaPorte Street north to the 5th Street Lift Station:
- 3. Provide additional pumping capacity at 5th Street to pump excess flows into storage;

4. Aggressively pursue identification and removal of I&I sources as follows:

- a. RedZone Robotics, Inc. has been retained to televise the entire 5th Street Basin sanitary sewer system; provide an evaluation of condition for each pipe and manhole; and provide an asset management software system. This project will identify additional sources of I&I;
- b. Stantec Consultants has been retained to perform micro flow monitoring in 10-inch and smaller sewers to help locate areas of high I&I in upstream sewer reaches within selected areas of the 5th Street Basin. Additional follow up investigations by local consultants will then attempt to identify and remedy the specific I&I source;
- c. Smoke Testing Deficiency Correction Program. The Sanitary District is following up on an extensive smoke testing study which was completed in February, 2009 to confirm that identified sources of I&I have been addressed. This effort will provide a systematic approach to eliminate inflow sources and document corrective actions taken.

The Sanitary District estimates that the improvements contemplated by the Contingency Plan will take approximately two and one-half years to construct. The current estimated cost of the Contingency Plan, not including I&I remediation measures, is approximately \$18,183,000.

When the Contingency Plan is completed, the Sanitary District will be in a much better position to evaluate what additional measures may be necessary to reduce SSOs. The Sanitary District will keep the EPA informed of its progress in implementing the Contingency Plan, as well as the resulting impacts on SSOs on a regular basis.

Upon your review of the enclosed information, the Sanitary District would like the opportunity to meet with EPA to present the contents of the proposed Contingency Plan in more detail and to further discuss our plans in this matter. Please let me know the available dates for such a meeting. In the interim, should you have any questions regarding the above, or if I can be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Robert F. Tweedle

Very truly you

xc: Kieth Middleton, U.S. EPA Andre Daugavietis, U.S. EPA Highland Sanitary District



May 2, 2014

Mr. Ryan Bahr Section 2 Chief Water Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard, 14th Floor Chicago, IL 60604-3590

RE: 2nd Submittal of Scheduling and Financial Information For Improvements Described in the Highland, IN SSES Report Dated April 8, 2013

Dear Mr. Bahr:

Reference is made to your correspondence to the Town of Highland dated March 25, 2014 requesting additional information concerning scheduling, contingency planning and financial impact for improvements to reduce the occurrence of Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSO's). Highland was to provide this information no later than April 11, 2014. Subsequently, the USEPA allowed an extension of time with a revised submittal date of May 2, 2014.

Highland's Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) report, dated April 8, 2013, included a proposed schedule of improvements having a ten (10) year duration to completion. Subsequent correspondence dated September 19, 2013, provided a revised schedule and cost breakdown for Alternative 3-10 Year Storm, having a five (5) year duration. As requested in your March 25, 2014 letter, Appendix A provides revised schedules and cost breakdowns for Alternative 1-10 Year Storm, Alternative 1-25 Year Storm, Alternative 3-10 Year Storm and Alternative 3-25 Year Storm. All Alternatives have been presented with a five (5) year completion schedule.

As noted by Attorney Robert Tweedle in the cover letter to this correspondence, the Highland Sanitary Board (HiSB) has formulated a Contingency Plan to prepare for the possibility that the Hammond Sanitary District (HSD) will not agree to accept additional wastewater flows from Highland under the Alternative 3 regional approach. A primary element of the Contingency Plan involves constructing an initial group of improvements

in the first 2-1/2 years that could be utilized whether Alternative 1 or Alternative 3 is adopted at the end of the 2-1/2 year period. This initial group of improvements will have a significant impact on eliminating SSO's from the three remaining constructed overflows in 5th Street. Additionally, the initial 2-1/2 year schedule should provide sufficient time to resolve the current lawsuit, as well as cost-of-service rate making issues, with HSD, providing an improved climate for negotiating the Alternative 3 regional approach. As part of the initial 2-1/2 year Contingency Plan, HiSB is also proposing an aggressive approach to locating and eliminating Infiltration and Inflow (I/I) sources in the 5th Street Basin, as noted in Attorney Tweedle's cover letter.

A statement of General Obligation Bonding Capacity, prepared by the Highland Clerk Treasurer's Office, is included in Appendix B. The tabulation indicates that the current Available Bonding Capacity of the Highland Sanitary District was limited to \$30,941,938.60, as of March 1, 2014. The number is determined by taking 12 percent of one third of the Town's Assessed Valuation and subtracting the Highland Sanitary District's current outstanding debt of \$9,909,889.04. Additionally, the HiSB considers it prudent to maintain a portion of the Available Bonding Capacity in reserve, representing 10 percent of the Maximum Bonding Capacity (\$40,851,828), or about \$4,000,000. The reserve would be available to pay for unforeseen costs such as major emergency repairs or increases in preliminary costs provided by the Hammond Sanitary District for Highland's portion of Combined Sewer Overflow Improvements.

Alternative Project Costs from the April 8, 2013 SSES Report have been updated, as listed in Appendix A, and are summarized as follows:

- Alternative 1-10 Year Storm = \$36,785,195
- Alternative 1-25 Year Storm = \$42,998,497
- Alternative 3-10 Year Storm = \$31,799,274
- Alternative 3-25 Year Storm = \$35,448,717

The proposed financing arrangement would include financing most of the recommended project with GO Bonds, repaid through property taxes. Under the law used to organize the Highland Department of Public Sanitation and its Sanitary District, the use of revenue bonds is not authorized. Additionally, the Town of Highland's Net Assessed Valuation (AV) has been declining over the past 6 years, as shown in Appendix C. Based on the declining Net AV, Appendix D provides a summary of how debt capacity is affected by borrowing each of four amounts for each of the four Alternatives and on the schedule shown in Appendix A. Appendix D clearly shows that the HiSB will have insufficient Available Bonding Capacity to implement the second group of improvements for any of the four Alternatives if money is to be borrowed on the schedules shown in Appendix A.

Another way to assess the impact of this Project on the tax payers of the Town of Highland is to consider the effect of increasing the entire debt burden on its tax payers. At the end of 2012, the Total of All Debt was \$76,683,504, as taken from the Town's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and summarized in Appendix E. The

three components of total debt include the **Direct Governmental** debt imposed by the Town of Highland, **Direct Business Activity** debt imposed by the Sanitary District and the Water Works Board, and **Indirect Overlapping Debt** imposed by other agencies including the School Town of Highland, North Township, Lake County Library, Lake County and the Lake County Solid Waste Management District. **As shown in Appendix E, the Per Capita Debt from all of these sources at the end of 2012 was \$3,269, while the Total Debt as a Percentage of Personal Income was 10.9%.** The CAFR Report for the year ending December 31, 2013 is not yet available.

We have roughly estimated the current Total of All Debt at \$123,082,778, by adding recent and proposed debt for the Town of Highland and the proposed lowest cost Alternative 3-10 Year project debt, as shown in Appendix F. The combined impact on the projected total debt, including 2012 Debt, recent and proposed Town Debt plus proposed Alternative 3-10 Year Project Debt, is then provided in Apppendix G. Population and personal income data have been estimated based on historical data contained in the CAFR. As shown in Appendix G, the Projected Per Capita Debt from all of these sources would increase to \$5,215, while the Total Debt as a Percentage of Personal Income would increase to 17.0%. We also compiled similar data from 2012 CAFR reports filed by the City of South Bend, City of Fort Wayne, City of Noblesville and the Town of Fishers as shown in Appendix H. At 17.0%, Highland's projected Total Debt as a Percentage of Personal Income would be higher than any of the other four municipalities listed.

Appendix I provides another measure of the impact on homeowners. It illustrates that the Annual Cost per Customer for the Alternative 3-10 Year project calculates out at \$297.17. The implication is that the average tax bill would go up about \$300 per year for each homeowner, with implementation of the lowest cost project.

In summary, the Highland Sanitary District does not have adequate available bonding capacity to complete even the lowest cost Alternative 3-10 Year Project within a 5 year schedule. Futher, the financial burden imposed by the Project, after all debt is taken into account, is considered severe based on the projected increase in Total Debt as a Percentage of Personal Income as well as property tax increases for individual homeowners.

Yours truly,

NIES Engineering, Inc.

Jenence X. Hodrik

Terrence J. Hodnik, P.E.

Sanitary District Engineer

cc: Highland Board of Sanitary Commissioners Mr. Michael Griffin

Mr. Mark Herak

Mr. John Bach

Mr. Robert Tweedle

APPENDIX A REVISED COMPLETION SCHEDULES AND PROJECT COSTS

Town of Highland

Board of Sanitary Commissioners

Sewer System Evaluation Survey

Engineer Cost Opinion - Alternative 1 - 10 Year Storm Cost Breakdown

April 15, 2014

Task No.	Project Description	Base Construction Cost	Contingency 10%	Engineering, Legal & Finance 25%	Opinion of Project Phase Construction & Design Costs
1	5 th Street & 81 st Street Storage Tanks (Includes \$301,500 for Land Acquisition)	\$ 14,196,823.20	\$ 1,419,682.32	\$ 3,904,126.38	\$ 19,520,631.90
2	36" 5 th Street Relief Sewer	\$ 2,390,191.00	\$ 239,019.10	\$ 657,302.53	\$ 3,286,512.63
3	New 5 th Street Lift Station & 81 st Street Lift Stn Upgrade	\$ 3,009,750.00	\$ 300,975.00	\$ 827,681.25	\$ 4,138,406.25
4	Duluth Street Relief Sewer, 41 st Force Main, 5 th Street Lining, & 81 st Street Force Main to Storage	\$ 2,541,320.75	\$ 254,132.08	\$ 698,863.21	\$ 3,494,316.03
5	Hammond Improvements	\$ 4,497,400.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,497,400.00
	Totals	\$ 26,635,484.95	\$ 2,213,808.50	\$ 6,087,973.36	

April 8, 2013 SSES Report Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 34,937,266.81
Increase for Alt 3 Lift Stn Cost at 5th Street in Phase 1	\$ 915,750.00
Increase for ENR CCI (2.6% Mar 2014)	\$ 932,178.00
Updated Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 36,785,195.00

Note:

Town of Highland Board of Sanitary Commissioners

Sewer System Evaluation Survey

Engineer Cost Opinion - Alternative 1 - 25 Year Storm Cost Breakdown

April 15, 2014

Task No.	Project Description	Base Construction Cost	Contingency 10%	Engineering, Legal & Finance 25%	Opinion of Project Phase Construction & Design Costs
1	5 th Street & 81 st Street Storage Tanks (Includes \$350,500 for Land Acquisition)	\$ 18,411,077.20	\$ 1,841,107.72	\$ 5,063,046.23	\$ 25,315,231.15
2	36" 5 th Street Relief Sewer	\$ 2,390,191.00	\$ 239,019.10	\$ 657,302.53	\$ 3,286,512.63
3	New 5 th Street Lift Station & 81 st Street Lift Stn Upgrade	\$ 3,575,750.00	\$ 357,575.00	\$ 983,331.25	\$ 4,916,656.25
4	Duluth Street Relief Sewer, 41 st Force Main, 5 th Street Lining, & 81 st Street Force Main to Storage	\$ 2,541,320.75	\$ 254,132.08	\$ 698,863.21	\$ 3,494,316.03
5	Hammond Improvements	\$ 4,497,400.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,497,400.00
	Totals	\$ 31,415,738.95	\$ 2,691,833.90	\$ 7,402,543.21	

April 8, 2013 SSES Report Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 41,510,116.06
Increase for Alt 3 Lift Stn Cost at 5th Street in Phase 1	\$ 398,750.00
Increase for ENR CCI (2.6% Mar 2014)	\$ 1,089,631.00
Updated Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 42,998,497.00

Note:

Town of Highland Board of Sanitary Commissioners

Sewer System Evaluation Survey

Engineer Cost Opinion - Alternative 3 - 10 Year Storm Cost Breakdown

April 15, 2014

Task No.	Project Description	Base Construction Cost	Contingency 10%	Engineering, Legal & Finance 25%	Opinion of Project Phase Construction & Design Costs
1	5 th Street Storage Tanks (Includes \$161,000 for Land Acquisition)	\$ 7,241,519.00	\$ 724,151.90	\$ 1,991,417.73	\$ 9,957,088.63
2	36" 5 th Street Relief Sewer	\$ 2,390,191.00	\$ 239,019.10	\$ 657,302.53	\$ 3,286,512.63
3	New 5 th Street Lift Station & 16" Force Main to Hammond	\$ 4,060,350.00	\$ 406,035.00	\$ 1,116,596.25	\$ 5,582,981.25
4	Duluth Street Relief Sewer, 41 st Force Main & 5 th Street Lining	\$ 1,716,481.75	\$ 171,648.18	\$ 472,032.48	\$ 2,360,162.41
5	Hammond Improvements	\$ 9,806,700.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,806,700.00
	Totals	\$ 25,215,241.75	\$ 1,540,854.18	\$ 4,237,348.98	

April 8, 2013 SSES Report Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 30,993,444.91
Increase for ENR CCI (2.6% Mar 2014)	\$ 805,829.57
Updated Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases)	\$ 31,799,274.00

Note:

Town of Highland Board of Sanitary Commissioners

Sewer System Evaluation Survey

Engineer Cost Opinion - Alternative 3 - 25 Year Storm Cost Breakdown

April 15, 2014

Task No.	Project Description	(Base Construction Cost	Contingency 10%	Engineering, Legal & Finance 25%	Opinion of Project Phase Construction & Design Costs
1	5 th Street Storage Tanks (Includes \$301,500 for Land Acquisition)	\$	9,638,400.00	\$ 963,840.00	\$ 2,650,560.00	\$ 13,252,800.00
2	36" 5 th Street Relief Sewer	\$	2,390,191.00	\$ 239,019.10	\$ 657,302.53	\$ 3,286,512.63
3	New 5 th Street Lift Station 16" Force Main to Hammond	\$	4,250,350.00	\$ 425,035.00	\$ 1,168,846.25	\$ 5,844,231.25
4	Duluth Street Relief Sewer, 41 st Force Main & 5 th Street Lining	\$	1,716,481.75	\$ 171,648.18	\$ 472,032.48	\$ 2,360,162.41
5	Hammond Improvements	\$	9,806,700.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,806,700.00
	Totals	\$	27,802,122.75	\$ 1,799,542.28	\$ 4,948,741.26	

2013 SSES Report Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases) _\$	\$ 34,550,406.28
Increase for ENR CCI (2.6% Mar 2014) \$	\$ 898,310.56
Updated Engineer's Opinion of Project Cost (All Phases) \$	\$ 35,448,717.00

Note:

Ducinat Decimination	Project	20	014)15		2016			2017		20	018			2019			20			2021			202	2
Project Description	Stage	J $_{F}$ $_{M}$ A $_{M}$ $_{J}$	J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M	A M J	J A S O N D	J F M A N	1 J A	s 0 N D	J F M	A M J J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M A M J	J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M	A M J J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M	A _M _J	J A S O N D	J F M	AMJJAS	0 _{N D}	J F M	Мј	J A S O N D
	Design																											
8.5 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design		V																									
36" 5th St. Relief Sewer	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design																											
N. Ell G. D. G. C.																												
New 5th St. Pump Station	Loan																											
	Construction																											
5.1 MG Storage Tank at 81st Street Upgrade 81st Street Lift Station	Design																											
81st Street Storage Force Main	Loan																											
\$7,514,452	Construction																											
	Design																											
6.7 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street \$4,052,136	Loan																											
, 1,032,130	Construction																											
Duluth Street Relief Sewer	Design																											
41st Street Force Main 5th Street Sewer Lining	Loan																											
\$2,421,527	Construction																											
	Design												_	,														
Payment for Hammond Improvements	Loan												\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \															
\$4,614,332	Construction																											
		V First Bon						V First Box						Anticipation Note						ond \$18 60								

First Bond Anticipation Note \$1,090,965

First Bond \$18,182,747

Second Bond Anticipation Note \$839,287

Second Bond \$18,602,447

Project Description	Project	20	014				15		2016			2017		20	018			2019			20			2021			202	2
Project Description	Stage	J F M A M J	J A S	O N D	J F M	АМЈ	J A S O N D	J F M A	И _J A	s ^O N D	J F M	A M J J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M A M J	J A S	O N D	J F M	A $_{M}$ $_{J}$ J $_{A}$ $_{S}$	0 _{N D}	J F M	A _M _J	J A S O N D	J F M	A _{MJ} J _{AS}	O N D	J F M	' М ј	JASONI
	Design																											
8.5 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design		V																									
36" 5th St. Relief Sewer	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design																											
New 5th St. Pump Station	Loan																											
-																												
	Construction								V																			
5.1 MG Storage Tank at 81st Street Upgrade 81st Street Lift Station	Design																											
81st Street Storage Force Main	Loan																											
\$7,514,452	Construction																											
42 7 14 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Design																											
12.7 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street \$9,928,269	Loan																											
	Construction																											
Duluth Street Relief Sewer	Design																											
41st Street Force Main 5th Street Sewer Lining	Loan																											
\$2,421,527	Construction																											
	Design													7														
syment for Hammond Improvements – \$4,614,332	Loan																											
¥ 1,02 1,00E	Construction																											

First Bond Anticipation Note \$1,111,195

First Bond \$18,519,967

Second Bond Anticipation Note \$1,191,855

Second Bond \$24,478,580

Town of Highland
Sewer System Evaluation Survey
Proposed Implementation Schedule - Revised April, 2014
Alternate 3 - 10 Year Return Period

D. 1. 1. D 1. 11	Project	20	014			20	15		20:	16			2017)18			2019			20	20		2021			2022	2
Project Description	Stage	J F M A M J	J A S	0 _{N D}	J _{FM}	A M J	J A S O N D	J F M	A M J	JAS	O N D	J F M	A M J J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M A M J	J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M	A _{MJ} J _{A_S}	0 _{N D}	J _{FM}	A M J	JASOND	J _{FM}	A _{MJ} J _{AS}	O N D	J _{F M} A	М Ј	I A S O N D
	Design																												
8.5 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street	Loan																												
	Construction		 																										
	Design		,																										
36" 5th St. Relief Sewer	Loan																												
	Construction																												
	Design																												
New 5th St. Pump Station	Loan																												
	Construction																												
Duluth Street Relief Sewer	Design										V																		
41st Street Force Main 5th Street Sewer Lining	Loan																												
New Force Main to Hammond	Construction																												
	Design														7														
Payment for Hammond Improvements	Loan																												
	Construction																												

First Bond Anticipation Note \$1,090,965

First Bond \$18,182,747

Second Bond Anticipation Note \$231,291

Second Bond \$13,634,527

Town of Highland
Sewer System Evaluation Survey
Proposed Implementation Schedule - Revised April, 2014
Alternate 3 - 25 Year Return Period

Businest December 1	Project		014			20)15		2016			2017		20				2019				20		2021			202	
Project Description	Stage	J F M A M J	J A S	0 _{N D}	J F M	A M J	JASOND	J F M A	M J A	s O N C	J F M	AMJJAS	0 _{N D}	J F M A M J	JAS	0 _{N D}	J F M	AMJJAS	0 _{N D}	J F M	A _M _J	J A S O N D	J F M	AMJJAS	O N D	J F M	МЈ	J A S O N
	Design																											
8.5 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street	Loan																											
	Construction		V																									
	Design																											
36" 5th St. Relief Sewer	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design																											
New 5th St. Pump Station	Loan																											
	Construction																											
	Design																											
4.9 MG Storage Tank at 5th Street	Loan																											
	Construction																											
Duluth Street Relief Sewer	Design																											
41st Street Force Main 5th Street Sewer Lining	Loan																											
New Force Main to Hammond	Construction																											
	Design																											
Payment for Hammond Improvements	Loan																											
	Construction																											

First Bond Anticipation Note \$1,107,047

First Bond \$18,450,790

Second Bond Anticipation Note \$416,175

Second Bond \$16,997,927

APPENDIX B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDING CAPACITY

FY 2014 Statement of General Obligation Bonding Capacity Cert Assessed Valuation 2013: \$1,024,265,514 IC 36-1-15-4 Computation of Legal Debt Margin Cert Assessed Valuation 2014: \$1,021,295,711 \$340,431,903.67 Adjusted IC 36-1-15-4 Municipal Corporation Twelve Percent Two Percent Eight Percent IC 8-1.5-4-18 Lease Payment IC 36-1-10 Sanitary District Parks & Recreation Lincoln Center Building Corporation Civil Town Two Percent Water Works District Max \$6,808,638,07 Max: \$40,851,828.44 Max: \$6,808,638.07 Max: \$27,234,552.29 Beginning Twelve Percent Two Percent Balance: Eight Percent \$17,340,000.00 Beginning Beginning Beginning Beginning \$10,748,889.84 Debt: \$4,154,103 Debt: Debt: \$2,510,000.00 Debt: \$0.00 Lease/purchase paid \$0.00 Issue Series Issue Series Issue Series **Issue Series** Outstanding Series 2005 (\$54,000.00) Series 2005 (\$59,000.00) Series 2006 (\$185,000.00) Lease Balance: \$17,340,000.00 Series 2008 (\$190,000.00) Series 2007 A (\$73,000.00) Series 2012 A (\$30,000,00) Series 2011 Note \$0.00 Series 2010 (\$60,000.00) Series 2007 B (\$56,000,00) Series 2012 B (\$85,000.00) Lease Payment IC 36-1-10 (\$107,000,00) Series 2013 \$0.00 | Series 2009 A \$0.00 Outstanding Series 2009 B \$0.00 Parks & Recreation Bldg Corp. Debt: \$3,850,103.00 Series 2009 C (\$84,000.00) Beginning Series 2010 (\$65,000.00) (\$395,000.00) Series 2011 Outstanding Outstanding Outstanding Outstanding Balance: \$0.00 Debt: \$3,850,103.00 Debt: \$9,909,889,84 Debt: \$2,210,000.00 Debt: \$0.00 Percent of All 24.1% Percent of All 62.1% Percent of All 13.8% Percent of All 0.0% Lease/debt paid \$0.00 G.O. Debt G.O. Debt G.O. Debt G.O. Debt Outstanding Lease Balance: \$0.00 Bonding Bonding Bonding Bonding Tied to Debt not Lease Capacity Capacity Capacity Capacity Remaining Lease \$ \$2,958,535.07 As of Mar 1 \$30,941,938.60 As of Mar 1 \$4,598,638.07 As of Mar 1 \$27,234,552.29 As of Mar 1 As of Mar 1 2014 2014 Two Percent 2014 Redevelopment Department (Not TIF) Sanitary District General Expense Two Percent General Obligation Bond Capacity \$67,943,664 Max: \$6,808,638,07 \$6,808,638.07 Max: IC 36-9-25-31 Works & Development Two Percent Two Percent Office of the Clerk-Treasurer Beginning Beginning Town of Highland Debt: \$0.00 * Debt: \$0.00 3333 Ridge Road Highland, Indiana 46322-2089 Principal paid Principal paid \$0.00 Please note: The Civil Town debt limit is established by the Issue Series Indiana Constitution. (Article 13 Debt) \$0.00 retired Series 98 The debt of the other entities are considered Series 02 \$0.00 retired political subdivisions with special taxing districts. Series 06 \$0.00 These are considered statutory debt limits and that Outstanding Outstanding is why the numbers can exceed the 2 per centum Debt: \$0.00 Debt: \$0.00 limit. Also, the civil town may exceed under emergencies. Percent of All 0.0% 0.0% G.O. Debt Margin of Outstanding (G.O.) Debt to Tax Base: 1.6% Total Outstanding G.O. Debt: \$15,969,993 Bonding Bonding Capacity Capacity As of Mar 1 \$6,808,638.07 As of Mar 1 \$6,808,638.07 Maximum Allowable Margin to Tax Base 9.3% \$95,320,933 2014 2014 Corp. Warrant or Notes Outstanding g.o. Debt: \$15,969,993 17% Subject to Corp. Limitation All BOND ISSUES SOLD AFTER Outstanding Leases: \$17,340,000 JAN 2006 have two principal payments Allowable G.O. Bond Capacity: \$79,350,940 Beginning per year where possible Available Works Bond Capacity: \$74,752,302 Debt: \$0.00 Debt Ratio (G.O.) Per Capita \$673.95 Debt Ratio (G.O. & Lease) Per Capit \$1,405.72 Principal paid \$0.00 Debt Ratio (Lease) Per Capita \$731.77 Total Outstanding Lease & GO Debt \$33,309,992.84 Balance \$0.00

APPENDIX C CHANGE IN CERTIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION FOR TOWN OF HIGHLAND OVER LAST SIX YEARS

•	Certified Assessed Valuation	Delta
2009	1,081,218,846	
2010	1,096,253,782	1.39%
2011	1,034,572,598	-5.63%
2012	1,032,715,884	-0.18%
2013	1,024,265,514	-0.82%
2014	1,021,295,711	-0.29%
	Average Change in Certified AV	-1.10%

APPENDIX D DEBT CAPACITY CALCULATION

Debt Capacity Calculation Alternative 1 - 10 Year Solution

Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) ¹	Gross Debt Capacity ²	Outstanding Debt ³	Debt Paydown	Net Debt Capacity ⁴	New Debt Issued	Exigency Reserve	Available Debt Capacity 5
2014	\$ 1,021,295,711	\$ 40,851,828	\$ 9,909,890	\$ 445,000	\$ 31,386,939	\$ 1,190,965	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 26,195,974
2015	\$ 1,010,061,458	\$ 40,402,458	\$ 10,655,855	\$ 2,080,965	\$ 31,827,568	\$ 18,182,747	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,644,821
2016	\$ 998,950,782	\$ 39,958,031	\$ 26,757,637	\$ 831,000	\$ 14,031,394	\$ 839,287	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,192,107
2017	\$ 987,962,324	\$ 39,518,493	\$ 26,765,924	\$ 1,707,287	\$ 14,459,856	\$ 18,602,447	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (8,142,591)
2018	\$ 977,094,738	\$ 39,083,790	\$ 43,661,084	\$ 794,500	\$ (3,782,794)	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (7,782,794)

¹ Assumes a 1.1% decline in Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) each year, calculated from prior year's NAV, which corresponds to the average annual percent decline in NAV experienced over the past six years.

² Gross Debt Capacity is calculated as twelve percent of NAV divided by three.

³ Outstanding Debt (carried over from prior year) is calculated as prior year's Outstanding Debt minus prior year's Debt Paydown plus prior year's New Debt Issued.

⁴ Net Debt Capacity is calculated as Gross Debt Capacity minus Outstanding Debt plus Debt Paydown.

⁵ Available Debt Capacity is calculated as Net Debt Capacity minus New Debt Issued minus Exigency Reserve.

Debt Capacity Calculation Alternative 1 - 25 Year Solution

Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) ¹	Gross Debt Capacity ²	Outstanding Debt ³	Debt Paydown	Net Debt Capacity ⁴	New Debt Issued	Exigency Reserve	Available Debt Capacity 5
2014	\$ 1,021,295,711	\$ 40,851,828	\$ 9,909,890	\$ 445,000	\$ 31,386,939	\$ 1,111,195	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 26,275,744
2015	\$ 1,010,061,458	\$ 40,402,458	\$ 10,576,085	\$ 2,001,195	\$ 31,827,568	\$ 18,519,967	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,307,601
2016	\$ 998,950,782	\$ 39,958,031	\$ 27,094,857	\$ 831,000	\$ 13,694,174	\$ 1,191,855	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 8,502,319
2017	\$ 987,962,324	\$ 39,518,493	\$ 27,455,712	\$ 2,059,855	\$ 14,122,636	\$ 24,478,580	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (14,355,944)
2018	\$ 977,094,738	\$ 39,083,790	\$ 49,874,437	\$ 794,500	\$ (9,996,147)	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (13,996,147)

¹ Assumes a 1.1% decline in Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) each year, calculated from prior year's NAV, which corresponds to the average annual percent decline in NAV experienced over the past six years.

² Gross Debt Capacity is calculated as twelve percent of NAV divided by three.

³ Outstanding Debt (carried over from prior year) is calculated as prior year's Outstanding Debt minus prior year's Debt Paydown plus prior year's New Debt Issued.

⁴ Net Debt Capacity is calculated as Gross Debt Capacity minus Outstanding Debt plus Debt Paydown.

⁵ Available Debt Capacity is calculated as Net Debt Capacity minus New Debt Issued minus Exigency Reserve.

Debt Capacity Calculation Alternative 3 - 10 Year Solution

Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) ¹	Gross Debt Capacity ²	Outstanding Debt ³	Debt Paydown	Net Debt Capacity ⁴	New Debt Issued	Exigency Reserve	Available Debt Capacity 5
2014	\$ 1,021,295,711	\$ 40,851,828	\$ 9,909,890	\$ 445,000	\$ 31,386,939	\$ 1,090,965	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 26,295,974
2015	\$ 1,010,061,458	\$ 40,402,458	\$ 10,555,855	\$ 1,980,965	\$ 31,827,568	\$ 18,182,747	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,644,821
2016	\$ 998,950,782	\$ 39,958,031	\$ 26,757,637	\$ 831,000	\$ 14,031,394	\$ 231,291	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,800,103
2017	\$ 987,962,324	\$ 39,518,493	\$ 26,157,928	\$ 1,099,291	\$ 14,459,856	\$ 13,634,527	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (3,174,671)
2018	\$ 977,094,738	\$ 39,083,790	\$ 38,693,164	\$ 794,500	\$ 1,185,126	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (2,814,874)

¹ Assumes a 1.1% decline in Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) each year, calculated from prior year's NAV, which corresponds to the average annual percent decline in NAV experienced over the past six years.

² Gross Debt Capacity is calculated as twelve percent of NAV divided by three.

³ Outstanding Debt (carried over from prior year) is calculated as prior year's Outstanding Debt minus prior year's Debt Paydown plus prior year's New Debt Issued.

⁴ Net Debt Capacity is calculated as Gross Debt Capacity minus Outstanding Debt plus Debt Paydown.

⁵ Available Debt Capacity is calculated as Net Debt Capacity minus New Debt Issued minus Exigency Reserve.

Debt Capacity Calculation Alternative 3 - 25 Year Solution

Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) ¹	Gross Debt Capacity ²	Outstanding Debt ³	Debt Paydown	Net Debt Capacity ⁴	New Debt Issued	Exigency Reserve	Available Debt Capacity 5
2014	\$ 1,021,295,711	\$ 40,851,828	\$ 9,909,890	\$ 445,000	\$ 31,386,939	\$ 1,107,047	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 26,279,892
2015	\$ 1,010,061,458	\$ 40,402,458	\$ 10,571,937	\$ 1,997,047	\$ 31,827,568	\$ 18,450,790	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,376,778
2016	\$ 998,950,782	\$ 39,958,031	\$ 27,025,680	\$ 831,000	\$ 13,763,351	\$ 416,175	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 9,347,176
2017	\$ 987,962,324	\$ 39,518,493	\$ 26,610,855	\$ 1,284,175	\$ 14,191,813	\$ 16,997,927	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (6,806,114)
2018	\$ 977,094,738	\$ 39,083,790	\$ 42,324,607	\$ 794,500	\$ (2,446,317)	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ (6,446,317)

¹ Assumes a 1.1% decline in Net Assessed Valuation (NAV) each year, calculated from prior year's NAV, which corresponds to the average annual percent decline in NAV experienced over the past six years.

² Gross Debt Capacity is calculated as twelve percent of NAV divided by three.

³ Outstanding Debt (carried over from prior year) is calculated as prior year's Outstanding Debt minus prior year's Debt Paydown plus prior year's New Debt Issued.

⁴ Net Debt Capacity is calculated as Gross Debt Capacity minus Outstanding Debt plus Debt Paydown.

⁵ Available Debt Capacity is calculated as Net Debt Capacity minus New Debt Issued minus Exigency Reserve.

APPENDIX E DECEMBER 2012 TOTAL DEBT IMPACT

As of 31 December 2012

Overlapping Jurisdictions

Direct Governmental \$ 17,090,469

Direct Business Activity \$ 12,892,000

Indirect Overlapping Debt \$ 46,701,035

Total of All Debt \$ 76,683,504

Per Capita Debt	\$ 3,269
Population	23458

Total Personal Income \$704,584,488

Debt as Percentage of Personal Income = 10.9%

APPENDIX F CURRENT ESTIMATE OF DEBT FOR HIGHLAND TAXPAYERS

Current Estimate of Debt

For Highland Taxpayers

Debt from 2012 CAFR	\$76,683,504
2013 Town Bond for Street Improvements	\$2,000,000
2014 Town Redevelopment Bond	\$2,000,000
Fall 2014 Town Police Station Bond	\$10,600,000
Sanitary District SSO Bond Alt 3-10 Year	\$31,799,274
Projected Total Debt	\$123,082,778

APPENDIX G CURRENT ESTIMATE OF TOTAL DEBT IMPACT

Estimated Current

Overlapping Jurisdictions

Direct G	overnmental	\$ 31,690,469
Direct B	usiness Activity	\$ 44,691,274
Indirect	Overlapping Debt	\$ 46,701,035
	Total of All Debt	\$ 123,082,778
	Per Capita Debt	\$ 5,215
	Population	23600
Total Pe	rsonal Income	\$724,916,802

Debt as Percentage of Personal Income = 17.0%

APPENDIX H COMPARISON OF DEBT AND INCOME OF

VARIOUS INDIANA CITIES AND TOWNS

Comparison of Debt and Income of Various Indiana Cities and Towns

City/Town	Total Overlapping and Direct Debt	Population	Debt (Per Capita)	Total Personal Income	Debt (% of Personal Income)
Highland	\$123,082,778	23,600	\$5,215	\$724,916,802	17.0%
Fort Wayne	\$705,511,000	253,691	\$2,781	\$8,929,670,000	7.9%
South Bend	\$469,055,287	101,175	\$4,636	\$3,381,885,843	13.9%
Fishers	\$444,620,084	79,127	\$5,568	\$14,656,275,000	3.0%
Noblesville	\$413,960,969	55,243	\$7,493	\$2,862,900,000	14.5%

APPENDIX I COMPUTATION OF ANNUAL COST PER CUSTOMER FOR ALTERNATIVE 3-10YEAR SOLUTION

Town of Highland Sewer System Evaluation Survey Computation of Annual Cost Per Customer Alternate 3 - 10 Year Solution

	5th Street Basin Storage Alternate 3 - 10 Year Solution											
Operation, Maintenance and Replacement												
Hora Donosinkian	0	almostian Coat	Anr	nual Operation	N/1-:	Annual	D	Annual	An	nual O, M&R		
Item Description	Con	struction Cost		Cost	wair	ntenance Cost	Re	olacement Cost		Cost		
Lift Station	\$	3,675,800	\$	32,715 ⁽¹⁾	\$	35,000	\$	57,660 ⁽⁴⁾	\$	125,375		
Relief Sewer	\$	3,299,763			\$	25,000	\$	43,997 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	68,997		
Force Main	\$	2,241,689			\$	25,000	\$	29,889 ⁽⁵⁾	\$	54,889		
Storage	\$	7,991,074	\$	63,258 ⁽²⁾	\$	20,000	\$	135,144 ⁽³⁾	\$	218,402		
Land	\$	181,705							\$	-		
Hammond	\$	10,061,675							\$	-		
Total O, M&R Annual Cost									\$	467,663		

Notes

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 75 yr design life.

				Financing			
\$	21,555,875 x	0.06722 =	\$ 1,448,985	3% for 20 yr	Construction Cost less Hammond	and Land Costs	
\$	10,243,400 x	0.070361 =	\$ 720,736	3.5% for 20 yr	Hammond + Land Cost		
Total			\$ 2,169,721	Ţ			
				Annual Cost per Cu	ıstomer		
O, M8	ιR		\$ 467,663	•	Customers		8,875
Financ	cing		\$ 2,169,721		Annual/Cost per Customer	\$	297.17
Total	· ·		\$ 2,637,384		Monthly/Cost per Customer	\$	24.76

⁽¹⁾ Operating cost based on elec cost of 108.6 HP pump for 96 hours/yr at \$0.10/KWH plus demand charge of 45% of full time operation.

⁽²⁾ Operating cost based on elec cost of 210 HP(3@70 HP) mixer/aerator for 96 hours/yr at \$0.10/KWH plus demand charge of 45% of full time operation.

 $^{^{(3)}}$ Based on 60 yr design life less \$200000/\$7080000 = 2.9% ratio of equipment at 30 yr life.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 75 yr design life with 25% of construction cost associated with equipment and piping with 30 yr design life.